AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

Synthesis of Volatile Compounds of Virgin Olive Oil Is Limited by the Lipoxygenase Activity Load during the Oil Extraction Process

Araceli Sánchez-Ortiz, Carmen Romero-Segura, Carlos Sanz,* and Ana G. Pérez

Department of Physiology and Technology of Plant Products, Instituto de la Grasa, CSIC, Padre García Tejero 4, 41012 Seville, Spain

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The aim of this work was to determine whether the lipoxygenase (LOX) activity is a limiting factor for the biosynthesis of virgin olive oil (VOO) volatile compounds during the oil extraction process. For this purpose, LOX activity load was modified during this process using exogenous LOX activity and specific LOX inhibitors on olive cultivars producing oils with different volatile profiles (Arbequina and Picual). Experimental data suggest that LOX activity is a limiting factor for the synthesis of the oil volatile fraction, this limitation being significantly higher in Picual cultivar than in Arbequina, in line with the lowest content of volatile compounds in the oils obtained from the former. Moreover, there is evidence that this limitation of LOX activity takes place mostly during the milling step in the process of olive oil extraction.

KEYWORDS: Olea europaea, lipoxygenase, olive oil, volatiles

INTRODUCTION

Olive oil is one of the oldest known plant oils, and it is unique among them because it can be consumed as a fruit juice called virgin olive oil (VOO). This oil, one of the main components of the Mediterranean diet, is related to protection against cardiovascular diseases and cancer, due to its fatty acid profile and the presence of minor amounts of phenolic constituents.^{1,2} However, the increase in the demand for high-quality VOO can be attributed not only to its potential health benefits but also to its excellent organoleptic properties. The aim of increasing the quality standards for VOO is continuously stimulating the study of biochemical pathways related to organoleptic properties and the development of technological procedures to improve them. In this sense, our group established the participation of the lipoxygenase (LOX) pathway in the biosynthesis of compounds of six straight-chain carbons (C6 compounds) in the olive oil volatile fraction.³ C6 aldehydes and alcohols and the corresponding esters are the most important compounds in the VOO aroma, from either a quantitative or qualitative point of view.^{4,5} These compounds are synthesized from polyunsaturated fatty acids containing a (Z,Z)-1,4-pentadiene structure such as linoleic (LA) and linolenic (LnA) acids. In a first step of this pathway, LOX produces the corresponding 13-hydroperoxide derivatives that are subsequently cleaved heterolytically by hydroperoxide lyase (HPL) to C6 aldehydes.^{3,6,7} C6 aldehydes can then undergo reduction by alcohol dehydrogenases (ADH) to form C6 alcohols^{3,8} and can finally be transformed into the corresponding esters by means of an alcohol acyltransferase.^{3,9} Moreover, Angerosa et al.⁵ also demonstrated the relevance of compounds of five straightchain carbons (C5 compounds) in the aroma of olive oil. C5 compounds would be generated through an additional branch of the LOX pathway that would involve the production of a 13alkoxyl radical by LOX as demonstrated in soybean seeds.¹⁰ This radical would undergo subsequent nonenzymatic β scission in a homolytic way to form a 1,3-pentene allylic radical that could be chemically dimerized to form pentene

dimers (PD) or react with a hydroxyl radical to form C5 alcohols. The latter would be the origin of C5 carbonyl compounds present in the volatile fraction of olive oil through an enzymatic oxidation by ADH as suggested to occur in soybean leaves.¹¹ The lack of HPL activity gives rise to an accumulation of hydroperoxides and a subsequent increase of the homolytic LOX branch activity, producing higher contents of C5 compounds as demonstrated in antisense-mediated HPL-depleted tomato plants.¹²

There are quite a number of studies describing the way technological procedures affect VOO volatile compound profile or the biosynthetic pathway determining this profile.^{13–18} However, as far as we know, the studies devoted to identifying limiting factors for the biosynthesis of VOO aroma are scarce. LOX activity is the first enzyme acting in the homonymous pathway producing VOO volatile compounds. Taking into account differences in volatile contents in Arbequina and Picual oils that are not satisfactorily explained by the availability of nonesterified polyunsaturated fatty acids during VOO extraction process,¹⁹ the aim of the present work was to study whether the LOX activity load is a limiting factor for the biosynthesis of VOO volatile compounds during the process to obtain this oil.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Reagents. Soybean LOX-1 and LOX inhibitors octyl gallate, phenidone, and phenylbutazone were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Reference compounds used for volatile identification were also supplied by Sigma-Aldrich except for (Z)-hex-3-enal, which was generously supplied by S. A. Perlarom (Louvaine-La-Neuve, Belgium). Compounds such as (E)-hex-3-enal, (Z)-hex-2-enal, (Z)-pent-2-enal, and PD were tentatively identified on

Received:	October 18, 2011
Revised:	December 17, 2011
Accepted:	December 17, 2011
Published:	December 17, 2011

of Different Amounts of Lipoxygenase Activity during the Oil Extraction	
Addition	
d by the	
Obtaine	
the Oils	and 5)
Oil) of	(MI 1 a
n Olive	Stages
er Gran	Aaturity
grams p	ferent N
(Nano	s at Dif
ontents ⁶	na Fruit
latile Co	Arbequi
3 1. Vo.	sss of <i>i</i>
Table	Proc

		Arbequina MI 1 L	OX activity added			Arbequina MI 5 1	LOX activity added	
volatile compound class ^b	0 U/g fruit	5 U/g fruit	10 U/g fruit	20 U/g fruit	0 U/g fruit	5 U/g fruit	10 U/g fruit	20 U/g fruit
C6/LnA aldehydes	15114 ± 673 a	$16001 \pm 362 \mathrm{b}$	$16783 \pm 114 bc$	16916 ± 307 c	23103 ± 56 a	$24205 \pm 607 b$	23872 ± 242 ab	$24043 \pm 345 b$
C6/LnA alcohols	146 ± 15 a	148 ± 14 a	143 ± 8 a	141 ± 6 a	183 ± 2 a	$200 \pm 5 \text{ ab}$	$190 \pm 13 \mathrm{b}$	$172 \pm 5b$
$\sum C6/LnA$	15260 ± 687 a	$16150 \pm 357 \text{ ab}$	$16926 \pm 107 \mathrm{b}$	$17057 \pm 309 \mathrm{b}$	23285 ± 58 a	24405 ± 612 b	24062 ± 235 ab	$24215 \pm 350 \mathrm{b}$
C6/LA aldehyde	462 ± 16 a	441 ± 2 a	438 ± 33 a	$369 \pm 9 \mathrm{b}$	500 ± 42 a	$407 \pm 22 \text{ b}$	430 ± 50 ab	425 ± 42 ab
C6/LA alcohol	7 ± 1 a	$11 \pm 1b$	9 ± 0 ab	8 ± 2 a	49 ± 2 a	$64 \pm 2 \mathrm{b}$	$50 \pm 1 ab$	49 ± 2 ab
$\sum C6/LA$	469 ± 16 a	452 ± 1 a	447 ± 32 a	$377 \pm 8 \mathrm{b}$	550 ± 41 a	471 ± 22 a	480 ± 50 ab	474 ± 41 ab
C5/LnA carbonyls	306 ± 5 a	$420 \pm 10 \mathrm{b}$	$430 \pm 14 \mathrm{b}$	489 ± 18 c	292 ± 13 a	$360 \pm 10 \mathrm{b}$	$421 \pm 6 c$	457 ± 8 d
C5/LnA alcohols	647 ± 4 a	587 ± 21 b	548 ± 9 c	481 ± 25 d	453 ± 7 a	$332 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	$313 \pm 5 c$	304 ± 3 d
D	7848 ± 273 a	$7202 \pm 247 \mathrm{b}$	6675 ± 299 b	5825 ± 362 c	6108 ± 182 a	4697 ± 90 b	$4088 \pm 107 c$	3832 ± 57 d
$\sum C5/LnA$	8801 ± 277 a	$8209 \pm 252 b$	7653 ± 287 b	6795 ± 390 c	6852 ± 203 a	5389 ± 81 b	4823 ± 102 c	4593 ± 51 d
C5/LA carbonyls	15 ± 2 a	$15 \pm 1 a$	14 ± 1 a	$15 \pm 1a$	$16 \pm 1a$	$20 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	$21 \pm 1b$	$20 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$
C5/LA alcohol	4 ± 2 ab	5 ± 1 ab	$6 \pm 1 b$	3 ± 1 a	14 ± 1 a	12 ± 1 a	12 ± 1 a	11 ± 3 a
$\sum C5/LA$	20 ± 4 a	20 ± 1 a	19 ± 1 a	18 ± 1 a	29 ± 1 a	32 ± 2 a	33 ± 1 a	31 ± 3 a
LOX esters	20 ± 2 a	$29 \pm 2 b$	18 ± 3 a	$11 \pm 3 c$	59 ± 1 a	$58 \pm 2 ab$	50 ± 3 a	$46 \pm 3 \mathrm{b}$
non-LOX esters	99 ± 7 a	106 ± 5 a	$104 \pm 17 a$	95 ± 2 a	135 ± 12 a	$146 \pm 4 ab$	147 ± 4 a	$178 \pm 21 \text{ b}$
Σ esters	$119 \pm 5 ab$	135 ± 6 c	$122 \pm 14 \mathrm{bc}$	106 ± 2 a	194 ± 13 a	204 ± 4 ab	197 ± 6 a	224 ± 22 b
total volatiles	24668 ± 989 a	24966 ± 618 a	25167 ± 442 a	24354 ± 710 a	30910 ± 314 a	30501 ± 721 a	29595 ± 393 b	29537 ± 467 b
'Mean value from three det	erminations in two di	fferent experiments. V	alues for the main vola	atile classes with differ	cent letters in the sam	ie row within each rip	bening index are signifi	cantly different ($P \leq$

0.05). ^bVolatile compounds were identified using reference compounds except as indicated and clustered into different classes as follows: C6/LnA aldehydes, (E)-hex-3-enal (tentatively identified), (Z)-hex-3-enal (tentatively identified), and (E)-hex-2-enal; C6/LnA alcohols, (E)-hex-3-enol, (Z)-hex-3-enal (tentatively identified), and (E)-hex-3-enal, (Z)-hex-2-enol; C6/LA aldehydes, hexanal; C6/LA alcohols, hexan-1-ol; C5/LnA alcohols, (E)-hex-3-enol, (Z)-pent-2-enol; C6/LA alcohols, hexanal; C6/LA and C7-pent-2-en-1-ols, P0, C7-pent-2-en-1-ols, P0, C7-pent-2-en-1-ols, P0, hexanal; C6/LA and C6/LA and C7-pent-2-en-1-ols, P0, C6/LA and C6/LA

Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry

Activity during the Oil Extraction	
nounts of Lipoxygenas	
dition of Different An	
Obtained by the Add	
Olive Oil) of the Oils	(MI 1 and 5)
nograms per Gram (erent Maturity Stages
atile Contents ^a (Na	icual Fruits at Diffe
Table 2. Vol	Process of P

		Picual MI 1 LO	X activity added			Picual MI 5 LO	X activity added	
volatile compound class ^b	0 U/g fruit	5 U/g fruit	10 U/g fruit	20 U/g fruit	0 U/g fruit	5 U/g fruit	10 U/g fruit	20 U/g fruit
C6/LnA aldehydes	8292 ± 1116 a	$10017 \pm 947 b$	$10487 \pm 382 b$	$11595 \pm 970 \mathrm{b}$	7563 ± 260 a	7883 ± 304 a	$10495 \pm 151 \mathrm{b}$	$11824 \pm 408 c$
C6/LnA alcohols	$183 \pm 7 ab$	$197 \pm 5 b$	$192 \pm 9 ab$	$180 \pm 12 \mathrm{b}$	448 ± 28 a	$531 \pm 27 \mathrm{b}$	$584 \pm 10 \mathrm{bc}$	546 ± 5 c
$\sum C6/LnA$	8475 ± 1113 a	$10215 \pm 943 \mathrm{b}$	$10679 \pm 374 \mathrm{b}$	$11775 \pm 961 b$	8011 ± 285 a	8413 ± 330 a	$11080 \pm 160 b$	12371 ± 409 c
C6/LA aldehyde	$607 \pm 10 a$	$565 \pm 27 b$	$444 \pm 15 c$	433 ± 9 c	284 ± 17 a	$201 \pm 22 \mathrm{b}$	$241 \pm 7 c$	$227 \pm 10 \mathrm{bc}$
C6/LA alcohol	15 ± 2 a	$17 \pm 1a$	$17 \pm 1a$	18 ± 2 a	80 ± 7 a	$95 \pm 3 b$	$116 \pm 4 c$	$117 \pm 3 c$
$\sum C6/LA$	622 ± 12 a	$582 \pm 27 b$	$461 \pm 15 c$	$450 \pm 8 c$	365 ± 11 a	$295 \pm 19 b$	357 ± 11 a	345 ± 7 a
C5/LnA carbonyls	654 ± 15 a	681 ± 29 a	$726 \pm 18 \mathrm{b}$	763 ± 9 c	686 ± 2 a	$633 \pm 13 \mathrm{b}$	$681 \pm 11a$	564 ± 12 c
C5/LnA alcohols	932 ± 51 a	$685 \pm 5 b$	598 ± 14 c	527 ± 23 d	896 ± 14 a	$655 \pm 13 \mathrm{b}$	592 ± 8 c	$520 \pm 16 \mathrm{d}$
PD	4975 ± 178 a	4650 ± 96 a	$4165 \pm 121 \mathrm{b}$	$3767 \pm 272 c$	5681 ± 208 a	4574 ± 122 b	$4330 \pm 112 \mathrm{b}$	3469 ± 36 c
$\sum C5/LnA$	6561 ± 242 a	$6017 \pm 63 \mathrm{b}$	5489 ± 135 c	5057 ± 285 d	7264 ± 223 a	5862 ± 145 b	$5602 \pm 125 \mathrm{b}$	4553 ± 39 c
C5/LA carbonyls	$21 \pm 1a$	22 ± 3 a	$21 \pm 1a$	$16 \pm 1b$	66 ± 2 a	70 ± 4 a	$61 \pm 3b$	$65 \pm 2 ab$
C5/LA alcohol	13 ± 1 a	11 ± 1 a	11 ± 2 a	12 ± 1 a	29 ± 3 a	$20 \pm 4 \mathrm{b}$	$21 \pm 2b$	$18 \pm 2 \mathrm{b}$
$\sum C5/LA$	33 ± 1 a	33 ± 3 a	32 ± 1 a	$27 \pm 2 b$	95 ± 3 a	89 ± 2 a	$81 \pm 5b$	$83 \pm 2 b$
LOX esters	33 ± 1 ab	$36 \pm 9 \mathrm{b}$	25 ± 1 a	$26 \pm 1 a$	147 ± 4 a	146 ± 4 a	$157 \pm 9 ab$	$171 \pm 22 b$
non-LOX esters	$80 \pm 4 ab$	$76 \pm 12 a$	75 ± 12 a	72 ± 4 a	50 ± 12 a	52 ± 3 a	57 ± 3 ab	65 ± 5b
Σ esters	112 ± 3 ab	113 ± 3 a	99 ± 12 b	98 ± 4 b	197 ± 11 a	198 ± 2 a	$215 \pm 9 ab$	$237 \pm 19 \mathrm{b}$
total volatiles	15803 ± 1371 a	16959 ± 1039 ab	16760 ± 538 ab	17408 ± 1260 b	15932 ± 533 a	14859 ± 498 b	17335 ± 309 c	17587 ± 476 c
¹ Mean value from three det	erminations in two di	ifferent experiments. Val	lues for the main volat	ile classes with differen	t letters in the same r	ow within each ripen	ning index are signific	antly different ($P \leq$

0.05). ^bEach class of volatile compound comprises compounds listed in Table 1 and under Materials and Methods. ά

814

the basis of mass spectra and their concentrations approximately quantified according to their available isomers.

Plant Material. Olive fruits (*Olea europaea* L.) of cultivars Picual and Arbequina were harvested in CIFA Cabra-Priego orchards (Cabra, Cordoba, Spain) during the 2007–2009 olive fruit seasons (October–December) at maturity index (MI) 1 (fruits with green yellowish skin) and at MI 5 (fruits with black skin and <50% purple flesh), according to the method of Garcia and Yousfi.²⁰

Olive Oil Extraction. Olive oil extraction was performed using an Abencor analyzer (Comercial Abengoa, S.A., Seville, Spain) that simulates at laboratory scale the industrial process of VOO production. Milling of olive fruits (1 kg) was performed using a stainless steel hammer mill operating at 3000 rpm provided with a 5 mm sieve. The resulting olive pastes were immediately kneaded in a mixer at 50 rpm for 30 min at 30 °C. Centrifugation of the kneaded olive pastes was performed in a basket centrifuge at 3500 rpm for 1 min. After centrifugation, oils were decanted and paper-filtered. Samples for volatile compound analyses (0.5 g each) were stored under nitrogen at -18 °C until analysis.

To increase or decrease the LOX activity load during the process to obtain the oil, different amounts of soybean LOX-1 or LOX inhibitors such as octyl gallate, phenidone, and phenylbutazone were added to the olive fruits during the milling step or at the beginning of the kneading step. Duplicate experiments were carried out for each cultivar and maturity index.

Analysis of Volatile Compounds. Olive oil samples were conditioned to room temperature and then placed in a vial heater at 40 °C. After 10 min of equilibrium time, volatile compounds from headspace were adsorbed on a SPME fiber DVB/Carboxen/PDMS $50/30 \,\mu\text{m}$ (Supelco Co., Bellefonte, PA). Sampling time was 50 min at 40 °C. Desorption of volatile compounds trapped in the SPME fiber was done directly into the GC injector. Volatiles were analyzed three times in duplicate experiments using a HP-6890 gas chromatograph equipped with a DB-Wax capillary column (60 m \times 0.25 mm i.d., film thickness = 0.25 μ m; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA). Operating conditions were as follows: N2 as carrier gas; injector and detector at 250 °C; column held for 6 min at 40 °C and then programmed at 2 °C min⁻¹ to 128 °C. Quantification was performed using individual calibration curves for each identified compound by adding known amounts of different compounds to redeodorized high-oleic sunflower oil. Compound identification was carried out on a HRGC-MS Fisons series 8000 equipped with a similar stationary phase column and two different lengths, 30 and 60 m, matching against the Wiley/NBS Library, and by GC retention time against standards.

Volatile compounds were clustered into different classes according to the polyunsaturated fatty acid and the LOX pathway branch origin. Quantitative data for every volatile class are the sum of the content of the following compounds (Kovats indices are given in brackets).

C6/LnA aldehydes: (*E*)-hex-3-enal [1137], (*Z*)-hex-3-enal [1156], (*Z*)-hex-2-enal [1218], and (*E*)-hex-2-enal [1233].

C6/LnA alcohols: (E)-hex-3-enol [1364], (Z)-hex-3-enol [1383], and (E)-hex-2-enol [1399].

C6/LA aldehyde: hexanal [1074].

C6/LA alcohol: hexan-1-ol [1355].

C5/LnA carbonyls: pent-1-en-3-one [1018], (Z)-pent-2-enal [1100], and (E)-pent-2-enal [1127].

C5/LnA alcohols: pent-1-en-3-ol [1168], (E)-pent-2-en-1-ol [1322], and (Z)-pent-2-en-1-ol [1327].

PD: seven pentene dimers [965, 970, 1009, 1023, 1077, 1081, and 1083].

C5/LA carbonyls: pentan-3-one + pentan-2-one [978] and pentanal [980].

C5/LA alcohol: pentan-1-ol [1261].

LOX esters (esters having alcoholic moieties synthesized through the LOX pathway): hexyl acetate [1293] and (E)-hex-2-en-1-yl acetate [1337].

Non-LOX esters (esters having alcoholic moieties that were not synthesized through the LOX pathway): methyl acetate [716], ethyl acetate [846], methyl hexanoate [1185], and ethyl hexanoate [1249].

Data were statistically evaluated using Statgraphics Plus 5.1 (Manugistic Inc., Rockville, MD). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied, and comparison of means was done by the Student–Newman–Keuls/Duncan test at a significance level of 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Previous findings suggest that the biosynthesis of VOO volatile compounds from cultivars Arbequina and Picual depends on the availability of substrates to be catabolized through the LOX pathway during the process to obtain this oil.¹⁹ This availability seems to be cultivar-dependent, and it is comparatively lower in Arbequina than in Picual fruits. Thus, the lower contents of volatile compounds found in Picual oils might be the result of a lower LOX activity load during the process to obtain the oil, despite the apparently higher availability of substrates as compared to Arbequina fruits. To explore this possibility, LOX activity in the different olive tissues and in olive pastes during the VOO extraction process was determined. However, measurements of this enzyme activity proved not to be useful to assess the effective LOX activity load during the oil extraction process because the enzymatic extracts displayed quite similar levels of LOX activity when obtained from fresh tissues (1.1-1.5 U/g FW in Picual fruits; 1.2-1.8 U/g FW in Arbequina fruits) and no activity when obtained from olive pastes. In this sense, there are several references in the literature on the interference of many of the components of the fruits, mainly phenolic compounds, on LOX activity,²¹⁻²³ and it is well-known that phenolics interact with proteins through different mechanisms.^{24,25} To overcome these circumstances, we used an indirect procedure in which was studied the effect of modifying the LOX activity load during the oil extraction process on the synthesis of volatile compounds. First, the effect of increasing the level of LOX activity on the synthesis of VOO volatile compounds was assessed by the addition of exogenous LOX during fruit milling, the first step in the olive oil extraction process. Soybean LOX-1 was selected because it is commercially available and it is a type 13-LOX producing 13hydroperoxides from polyunsaturated fatty acids. This catalytic characteristic is essential for the synthesis of C6 and C5 compounds present in the VOO volatile fraction.³ As shown in Tables 1 and 2, the effect of increasing LOX activity during fruit milling promoted a low modification of the total content of volatile compounds of the oils, irrespective of the ripening stage of the olive fruit, but a significant change in the volatile compound profile that may have an important impact on the oil from a sensory point of view. Thus, an increase in the content of the main volatile compounds determining VOO aroma, the C6 compounds derived from LnA (C6/LnA), was observed when the level of exogenous LOX was increased during the oil extraction process. For the highest dose (20 U/g fruit), significant increases ($P \le 0.05$) for this group of compounds were found, 12 and 4% for Arbequina fruits at MI 1 and 5, respectively. However, the same dose of exogenous LOX during the oil extraction of Picual fruits reached increases of around 39 and 54% for fruits at MI 1 and 5, respectively. The content of C6 compounds derived from LA (C6/LA) did not show this trend, remaining unaltered or displaying even a slight decrease. These results imply that olive LOX activity seems to be limiting the synthesis of volatile compounds during the oil extraction process as previously suggested and that this limitation is significantly higher in Picual fruits than in Arbequina fruits, regardless their ripening stage. By contrast, the content of C5 compounds derived from LnA (C5/LnA)

ι of Lipoxygenase Activity (20 U/g Paste) during the Kneading of Pastes	
y the A	
ained b	
ils Obt	nd 5)
f the O	(MI 1 a
oil) o	Stages (
n Olive	turity S
er Gran	ent Ma
rams po	t Differ
(Nanog	Fruits a
tents ^a	Picual I
ile Con	a and
. Volati	bequin
Table 3.	from Aı

		Arbe	equina			Pic	ual	
	W	[]	M	I S	W	11	IW	[S
volatile compound class ^b	control	+ LOX	control	+ LOX	control	+ LOX	control	+ LOX
C6/LnA aldehydes	16189 ± 320 a	$17123 \pm 395 \mathrm{b}$	18698 ± 607 a	19529 ± 640 a	12579 ± 54 a	$17490 \pm 313 \mathrm{b}$	7984 ± 190 a	$10184 \pm 190 \mathrm{b}$
C6/LnA alcohols	89 ± 12 a	$124 \pm 11b$	99 ± 8 a	90 ± 2 a	312 ± 2 a	$195 \pm 7 b$	241 ± 19 a	$1891 \pm 19 \text{ b}$
$\sum C6/LnA$	16278 ± 325 a	17247 ± 406 b	18798 ± 615 a	19619 ± 638 a	12891 ± 52 a	$17685 \pm 320 \mathrm{b}$	8226 ± 209 a	$12076 \pm 209 b$
C6/LA aldehyde	821 ± 27 a	828 ± 17 a	891 ± 83 a	959 ± 22 a	759 ± 13 a	480 ± 14 b	347 ± 11 a	390 ± 31 a
C6/LA alcohol	10 ± 0 a	$13 \pm 1 b$	36 ± 1 a	38 ± 7 a	22 ± 1 a	$8 \pm 1 b$	66 ± 4 a	$11 \pm 0 b$
$\sum C6/LA$	831 ± 27 a	841 ± 18 a	927 ± 84 a	997 ± 29 a	781 ± 12 a	489 ± 13 b	413 ± 15 a	401 ± 31 a
CS/LnA carbonyls	225 ± 3 a	$308 \pm 10 \text{ b}$	509 ± 43 a	$617 \pm 21 \mathrm{b}$	329 ± 3 a	$182 \pm 0 b$	780 ± 29 a	$1009 \pm 21 \text{ b}$
CS/LnA alcohols	484 ± 17 a	$352 \pm 10 \text{ b}$	594 ± 22 a	588 ± 14 a	440 ± 20 a	$268 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	1075 ± 414 a	1278 ± 70 a
PD	6074 ± 168 a	5762 ± 138 a	9998 ± 538 a	10588 ± 479 a	4841 ± 23 a	4324 ± 27 b	6952 ± 374 a	$3901 \pm 105 b$
\sum C5/LnA	6783 ± 181 a	6422 ± 157 a	11100 ± 604 a	11794 ± 515 a	5610 ± 46 a	4774 ± 29 b	8807 ± 817 a	6188 ± 196 b
CS/LA carbonyls	8 ± 0 a	8 ± 0 a	15 ± 1 a	16 ± 1 a	$10 \pm 1 a$	$7 \pm 0 b$	40 ± 2 a	$64 \pm 12 b$
CS/LA alcohol	9 ± 2 a	10 ± 2 a	8 ± 5 a	11 ± 2 a	3 ± 1 a	4 ± 2 a	$4 \pm 1a$	$14 \pm 1b$
$\sum C5/LA$	$17 \pm 2a$	18 ± 1 a	23 ± 6 a	26 ± 1 a	13 ± 0 a	11 ± 2 a	44 ± 1 a	$78 \pm 13 \mathrm{b}$
LOX esters	14 ± 2 a	15 ± 2 a	24 ± 4a	25 ± 2 a	$10 \pm 0 a$	$14 \pm 0 b$	25 ± 1 a	$34 \pm 2 b$
non-LOX esters	88 ± 4 a	$105 \pm 9 b$	$87 \pm 10 a$	86 ± 9 a	100 ± 11 a	117 ± 14 a	61 ± 3 a	92 ± 20 a
Σ esters	102 ± 3 a	$120 \pm 10 \mathrm{b}$	111 ± 7 a	111 ± 7 a	111 ± 10 a	131 ± 14 a	86 ± 2 a	126 ± 23 a
total volatiles	24010 ± 537 a	24648 ± 592 a	30960 ± 1315 a	32547 ± 1189 a	19405 ± 121 a	23090 ± 401 b	17576 ± 1043 a	18868 ± 472 a
^{<i>a</i>} Mean value from three de different ($P \leq 0.05$). ^{<i>b</i>} Each	terminations in two d class of volatile comj	lifferent experiments. pound comprises com	Values for the main v pounds listed in Tabl	olatile classes with diff le 1 and under Materi	erent letters in the sa als and Methods.	ume row within each c	ultivar and ripening ir	ndex are significantly

g/g Fruit) e	
8	
2 mg	
allate (3	
Octyl Ga	
tion of (
he Addi	
ned by t	
ls Obtaiı	
f the Oil and 5)	
: Oil) ol (MI 1	
m Olive 7 Stages	
per Gra Maturity	
ograms ifferent]	
s ^a (Nan its at D	
Contents cual Fru	
Jolatile (and Pio	
able 4. V rbequina	

		Arbeq	luina			Ρiα	ual	
	IM	1	W	[5	IM	1	W	[5
volatile compound class ^b	control	+ octyl gallate	control	+ octyl gallate	control	+ octyl gallate	control	+ octyl gallate
C6/LnA aldehydes	14283 ± 539 a	$2909 \pm 500 b$	22723 ± 394 a	$15590 \pm 160 b$	6923 ± 735 a	1667 ± 331 b	8441 ± 44 a	$3976 \pm 43 \mathrm{b}$
C6/LnA alcohols	306 ± 41 a	$95 \pm 15 b$	264 ± 12 a	$191 \pm 3 b$	157 ± 2 a	$93 \pm 10 \mathrm{b}$	465 ± 25 a	$311 \pm 6 b$
$\sum C6/LnA$	14588 ± 579 a	$3004 \pm 485 \mathrm{b}$	22987 ± 381 a	$15781 \pm 158 b$	7080 ± 735 a	$1760 \pm 326 \mathrm{b}$	8906 ± 28 a	4287 ± 49 b
C6/LA aldehyde	513 ± 448 a	$97 \pm 9 \mathrm{b}$	455 ± 18 a	$103 \pm 2 \mathrm{b}$	941 ± 112 a	$31 \pm 21 \mathrm{b}$	347 ± 18 a	$76 \pm 2 b$
C6/LA alcohol	$8 \pm 0a$	$7 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	39 ± 1 a	39 ± 1 a	25 ± 1 a	$13 \pm 3 b$	85 ± 3 a	85 ± 2 a
\sum C6/LA	779 ± 81 a	$104 \pm 9 \mathrm{b}$	494 ± 19 a	$142 \pm 2b$	967 ± 112 a	$44 \pm 24 \mathrm{b}$	432 ± 18 a	$161 \pm 3 b$
C5/LnA carbonyls	694 ± 105^{ab}	587 ± 58 a	254 ± 1 a	243 ± 3 a	1178 ± 95 a	$581 \pm 35 b$	661 ± 3 a	390 ± 6 b
C5/LnA alcohols	1108 ± 64 a	$325 \pm 30 \mathrm{b}$	424 ± 4 a	$289 \pm 4 \mathrm{b}$	1467 ± 100 a	$283 \pm 20 \mathrm{b}$	840 ± 14 a	$508 \pm 7 b$
PD	8517 ± 170 a	$7860 \pm 61 \text{ b}$	5621 ± 70 a	6248 ± 66 b	5482 ± 96 a	$2985 \pm 111 b$	5459 ± 192 a	$4466 \pm 111 b$
$\sum CS/LnA$	10319 ± 296 a	8772 ± 66 b	6298 ± 75 a	$6780 \pm 67 \mathrm{b}$	8127 ± 278 a	$3849 \pm 165 \mathrm{b}$	6960 ± 205 a	$5364 \pm 124 \mathrm{b}$
C5/LA carbonyls	36 ± 4 a	$109 \pm 11 \text{ b}$	14 ± 1 a	$34 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	40 ± 4 a	$78 \pm 20 \mathrm{b}$	58 ± 4 a	$66 \pm 3 \mathrm{b}$
C5/LA alcohol	$6 \pm 1a$	$10 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	18 ± 1 a	$7 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	22 ± 4 a	$14 \pm 4b$	23 ± 2 a	$11 \pm 1b$
$\sum CS/LA$	42 ± 5 a	$120 \pm 9 b$	32 ± 1 a	$40 \pm 2 b$	62 ± 4 a	$93 \pm 24 \mathrm{b}$	81 ± 2 a	78 ± 4 a
LOX esters	24 ± 4 a	24 ± 5 a	30 ± 1 a	$38 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	24 ± 2 a	29 ± 5 a	125 ± 4 a	$172 \pm 5 b$
non-LOX esters	137 ± 20 a	$67 \pm 6 \mathrm{b}$	119 ± 1 a	$104 \pm 6b$	116 ± 57 a	$8 \pm 3 b$	51 ± 5 a	$29 \pm 2 b$
Σ esters	161 ± 16 a	$96 \pm 10 \mathrm{b}$	149 ± 1 a	142 ± 6a	172 ± 13 a	$37 \pm 6 \mathrm{b}$	176 ± 8 a	$201 \pm 7 b$
total volatiles	25888 ± 978 a	$12095 \pm 579 b$	29961 ± 478 a	22886 ± 235 b	16407 ± 1142 a	5783 ± 544 b	16555 ± 261 a	$10091 \pm 188 b$
^a Mean value from three det	erminations in two diff	ferent experiments. Va	alues for the main vol	atile classes with differ	ent letters in the same	row within each ripe	ning index are signifi	cantly different ($P \leq$

0.05). ^bEach class of volatile compound comprises compounds listed in Table 1 and under Materials and Methods.

Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry

//g Fruit) during the Oil Extraction Process of	
e (32 mg	
Phenidon	
ddition of	
oy the A	
otained l	
Oils Ol	5)
of the	1 and
re Oil)	s (MI
m Oliv	Stage
er Grai	aturity
ams pe	ent M
Janogr	Differ
nts ^a (N	ruits at
Conter	cual F ₁
olatile	and Pi
s 5. Vo	quina
Tablé	Arbe

		Arbo	equina			Pic	ual	
	IM	1	IM	S	IM	1	IW	5
volatile compound class ^b	control	+ phenidone	control	+ phenidone	control	+ phenidone	control	+ phenidone
C6/LnA aldehydes	14283 ± 539 a	$3647 \pm 460 \mathrm{b}$	22737 ± 1112 a	$11730 \pm 93 \mathrm{b}$	7614 ± 250 a	$2256 \pm 60 \mathrm{b}$	8441 ± 44 a	2561 ± 75 b
C6/LnA alcohols	306 ± 41 a	45 ± 3 b	253 ± 20 a	$138 \pm 2 b$	157 ± 2 a	$169 \pm 10 \mathrm{b}$	466 ± 26 a	405 ± 23 b
$\sum C6/LnA$	14588 ± 579 a	3692 ± 463 b	22990 ± 1097 a	$11868 \pm 91 \mathrm{b}$	7771 ± 251 a	2425 ± 59 b	8907 ± 30 a	$3278 \pm 65 b$
C6/LA aldehyde	704 ± 7 a	$346 \pm 7 b$	462 ± 18 a	$139 \pm 13 b$	941 ± 112 a	$72 \pm 41 \mathrm{b}$	347 ± 18 a	$110 \pm 8 \mathrm{b}$
C6/LA alcohol	8 ± 0 a	$31 \pm 1b$	39 ± 1 a	$63 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	25 ± 1 a	$26 \pm 1a$	85 ± 3 a	$110 \pm 4b$
$\sum C6/LA$	712 ± 8 a	$377 \pm 8 \mathrm{b}$	501 ± 18 a	$202 \pm 13 \mathrm{b}$	967 ± 112 a	98 ± 42 b	432 ± 18 a	$219 \pm 8 b$
CS/LnA carbonyls	694 ± 105 a	$211 \pm 4b$	255 ± 2 a	$66 \pm 3 \mathrm{b}$	1178 ± 95 a	$172 \pm 31 \mathrm{b}$	661 ± 3 a	124 ± 6 b
C5/LnA alcohols	1108 ± 64 a	$138 \pm 13 b$	435 ± 19 a	$103 \pm 3 \mathrm{b}$	1467 ± 100 a	$62 \pm 12 b$	840 ± 14 a	$349 \pm 7 b$
PD	8517 ± 170 a	$247 \pm 15 b$	4652 ± 73 a	$90 \pm 4b$	5482 ± 96 a	$79 \pm 38 \mathrm{b}$	5459 ± 192 a	344 ± 22 b
\sum CS/LnA	10319 ± 296 a	$596 \pm 3 b$	5342 ± 92 a	$259 \pm 8 b$	8127 ± 278 a	$313 \pm 28 \mathrm{b}$	6960 ± 205 a	$816 \pm 26 b$
C5/LA carbonyls	36 ± 4 a	1643 ± 45 b	14 ± 1 a	$86 \pm 12 \mathrm{b}$	40 ± 4 a	1941 ± 345 b	58 ± 4 a	$366 \pm 11 \mathrm{b}$
CS/LA alcohol	6 ± 1 a	304 ± 5 b	18 ± 1 a	18 ± 2 a	22 ± 4 a	$407 \pm 33 \mathrm{b}$	23 ± 2 a	$57 \pm 5 b$
$\sum CS/LA$	42 ± 5 a	$1947 \pm 46 b$	32 ± 1 a	$103 \pm 13 \mathrm{b}$	62 ± 4 a	2349 ± 374 b	81 ± 2 a	425 ± 14 b
LOX esters	26 ± 2 a	$47 \pm 2 b$	30 ± 1 a	$58 \pm 3 b$	24 ± 2 a	40 ± 4b	129 ± 8 a	$147 \pm 5b$
non-LOX esters	211 ± 10 a	296 ± 179 a	119 ± 1 a	106 ± 6 a	133 ± 23 a	$181 \pm 25 \mathrm{b}$	51 ± 5 a	$40 \pm 3 b$
Σ esters	237 ± 8 a	343 ± 181 a	148 ± 1 a	163 ± 4 b	157 ± 22 a	$221 \pm 27 \mathrm{b}$	180 ± 6 a	187 ± 3 a
total volatiles	25897 ± 896 a	6955 ± 306 b	29014 ± 1209 a	12595 ± 129 b	17083 ± 667 a	5406 ± 530 b	16560 ± 261 a	4925 ± 116 b
^{<i>a</i>} Mean value from three dete 0.05). ^{<i>b</i>} Each class of volatile	erminations in two differences compound comprises	ferent experiments. V s compounds listed ii	alues for the main vola n Table 1 and under M	tile classes with differe faterials and Methods	ent letters in the same	row within each ripe	ning index are signific	antly different ($P \leq$

Process of	
xtraction]	
the Oil E	
t) during	
mg/g Frui	
one (32 1	
nenylbutaz	
tion of P }	
r the Addi	
btained by	
he Oils Ol	ad 5)
Oil) of t	i (MI 1 ai
ram Olive	ity Stages
ms per G	ent Matur
(Nanogra	at Differ
Contents ^a	ual Fruits
Volatile C	a and Pic
Table 6.	Arbequin

		Arbe	equina			Picu	ıal	
	M	I 1	IW	5	W	11	V	41 S
volatile compound class ^b	control	+ phenylbutazone	control	+ phenylbutazone	control	+ phenylbutazone	control	+ phenylbutazone
C6/LnA aldehydes	13896 ± 654 a	$9154 \pm 246 b$	23205 ± 1075 a	19561 ± 606 b	5338 ± 290 a	$2290 \pm 148 \mathrm{b}$	954 ± 116 a	$313 \pm 51 \mathrm{b}$
C6/LnA alcohols	199 ± 9 a	$127 \pm 2 b$	252 ± 29 a	189 ± 13 a	84 ± 2 a	$71 \pm 1b$	50 ± 5 a	50 ± 4 a
$\sum C6/LnA$	14096 ± 645 a	$9281 \pm 249 \mathrm{b}$	23458 ± 1047 a	$19749 \pm 619 b$	5423 ± 291 a	$2361 \pm 148 \mathrm{b}$	1005 ± 121 a	$363 \pm 55 \mathrm{b}$
C6/LA aldehyde	660 ± 29 a	$266 \pm 23 \mathrm{b}$	458 ± 24 a	$361 \pm 24 \mathrm{b}$	786 ± 53 a	$247 \pm 6 b$	275 ± 6 a	$79 \pm 7 b$
C6/LA alcohol	$16 \pm 0 a$	$9 \pm 1 b$	39 ± 0 a	32 ± 3 a	$11 \pm 1a$	$9 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	27 ± 2 a	29 ± 1 a
$\sum C6/LA$	676 ± 29 a	$275 \pm 23 \mathrm{b}$	497 ± 24 a	$392 \pm 24 \mathrm{b}$	797 ± 53 a	$257 \pm 5 b$	303 ± 8 a	$108 \pm 8 \mathrm{b}$
C5/LnA carbonyls	364 ± 10 a	$332 \pm 1 \mathrm{b}$	255 ± 3 a	$215 \pm 5 b$	728 ± 18 a	$432 \pm 10 \mathrm{b}$	124 ± 2 a	$71 \pm 2b$
C5/LnA alcohols	830 ± 36 a	789 ± 6 a	439 ± 25 a	474 ± 23 a	632 ± 18 a	564 ± 16 b	500 ± 12 a	504 ± 10 a
PD	7838 ± 6 a	7546 ± 177 a	4643 ± 101a	5099 ± 338 a	3187 ± 103 a	2555 ± 6 b	1152 ± 46 a	$734 \pm 15 b$
$\sum CS/LnA$	9032 ± 52 a	8667 ± 184 a	5338 ± 130 a	5788 ± 363 a	4547 ± 95 a	$3551 \pm 18 \mathrm{b}$	$1777 \pm 60 a$	$1311 \pm 27 b$
C5/LA carbonyls	22 ± 3 a	25 ± 1 a	15 ± 0 a	$59 \pm 4b$	23 ± 1 a	$42 \pm 2b$	29 ± 1 a	$34 \pm 1b$
C5/LA alcohol	$1 \pm 0 a$	$3 \pm 0 b$	18 ± 0 a	$58 \pm 13 \mathrm{b}$	36 ± 3 ab	$29 \pm 2 b$	11 ± 1 a	13 ± 1 a
$\sum CS/LA$	23 ± 3 a	29 ± 1 a	33 ± 1a	$117 \pm 13 \mathrm{b}$	60 ± 4 a	$72 \pm 2b$	41 ± 1 a	$48 \pm 3 b$
LOX esters	40 ± 16 a	$30 \pm 1 a$	$30 \pm 1a$	36 ± 2 a	41 ± 7a	42 ± 1 a	382 ± 11 a	401 ± 17 a
non-LOX esters	59 ± 0 a	$42 \pm 2 b$	118 ± 1a	112 ± 6 a	160 ± 10 a	$41 \pm 3b$	67 ± 1 a	$98 \pm 3 \mathrm{b}$
Σ esters	99 ± 16 a	72 ± 3 b	148 ± 2 a	148 ± 5 a	201 ± 12 a	$84 \pm 2b$	450 ± 11 a	$500 \pm 21 \mathrm{b}$
total volatiles	23926 ± 745 a	18323 ± 460 b	29474 ± 1203 a	26194 ± 1024 b	11029 ± 455 a	6326 ± 177 b	3577 ± 203 a	2332 ± 116 b
^a Mean value from three det	erminations in two di	ifferent experiments. V	alues for the main vola	ttile classes with differe	nt letters in the same	e row within each riper	ning index are signif	icantly different ($P \leq$

0.05). ^bEach class of volatile compound comprises compounds listed in Table 1 and under Materials and Methods.

Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry

experienced a decline when exogenous LOX activity increased during the oil extraction process from fruits from the two cultivars and ripening stages under study, reaching around a 30% decrease for the highest LOX dose. This decrease is observed for all classes of compounds within the C5/LnA compounds except for the minor C5/LnA carbonyls, which, in general, increased as the LOX dose increase. There are no experimental clues to explain the decrease in the content of C5 compounds, but it might be related to differences between olive LOX and exogenous LOX in the C5 synthesizing homolytic activity, taking into account that both compete for the same substrates. The other minor groups of volatile compounds, C5/ LA and esters, did not show precise trends when LOX doses were increased.

A similar effect was observed in general when LOX activity load was increased during the kneading step of the oil extraction process. Table 3 shows the contents of volatile compounds in the oils extracted after the addition of the highest dose of exogenous LOX activity used above (20 U LOX/g olive paste) during the olive paste kneading. Again, the effect of increasing the level of the LOX activity load gave rise to different responses depending on the olive cultivar. The increase in LOX activity at the beginning of the kneading step causes a significant increase (average 42%) in the content of C6/LnA compounds in the oils from Picual fruits, regardless their ripening stage. However, the addition of exogenous LOX at the beginning of the kneading step of Arbequina fruit pastes only promoted a slight but significant increase ($P \le 0.05$) in the oils obtained from fruits at MI 1 (6%). Moreover, whereas the contents of C5/LnA compounds in the oils from Arbequina fruits, at either of the ripening stages under study, did not change significantly, a decrease was observed in the case of Picual oils, being higher when obtained from fruits at MI 5 (30%) than from fruits at MI 1 (15%). The other groups of volatile compounds displayed no major changes. These data suggest again a greater limitation of the LOX activity load over the whole oil extraction process from Picual fruits than from Arbequina fruits. Besides, the fact that increasing the LOX activity load during the kneading step of Picual fruits produced an increase in the volatile compound synthesis suggests that there is still substrate available for this synthesis. However, the almost complete lack of effect on the content of oil volatile compounds in Arbequina suggests a depletion of substrate at the beginning of the kneading step to be catabolized by the LOX activity. These results will support the above-mentioned previous findings¹⁹ suggesting that substrate availability for LOX activity is comparatively lower in Arbequina than in Picual fruits

To further confirm these findings, the effect of reducing the LOX activity load during the VOO extraction process on the synthesis of oil volatile compounds was assessed. For this purpose, different specific LOX inhibitors (octyl gallate, phenidone, and phenylbutazone) were added during the oil extraction process. Octyl gallate is a potent competitive inhibitor of LOX activity,²⁶ and it is currently permitted for use as an antioxidant additive in food.²⁷ Ruddat et al.²⁸ stated that the inhibition caused by octyl gallate is unlikely due to its capability of scavenging polyunsaturated fatty acid derived free radicals because these free radical intermediates that are formed during the catalytic cycle of LOX remain tightly bound at the active site and, thus, are not accessible for free radical scavengers. As shown in Table 4, oils obtained from Picual fruits displayed, in general, a greater reduction of the content of

volatile compounds than those from Arbequina fruits as a consequence of the presence of the octyl gallate during the oil extraction process, the oils obtained from fruits at MI 1 being the most affected. Thus, theoretical half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC_{50}) calculated for total volatile synthesis were found to be 20 and 26 mg/g fruit at MI 1 for Picual and Arbequina, respectively, whereas IC₅₀ values were 39 and 77 mg/g fruit at MI 5 for Picual and Arbequina, respectively. The contents of C6 aldehydes and C5 alcohols, direct products of the LOX/HPL enzymatic system, are the most affected as a result of the addition of octyl gallate during the milling of olive fruits. The contents of volatile compounds of the highest sensory importance in VOO, C6/LnA, were reduced up to an average 77% in both cultivars at MI 1 as a consequence of the presence of octyl gallate during the milling of the fruit. However, oils obtained from fruits at MI 5 displayed reductions of 52 and 31% of the contents of C6/LnA compounds in cultivars Picual and Arbequina, respectively. The other groups of volatile compounds, C5 compounds and esters, have no clear trend, although the greatest reductions in the synthesis of these classes of compounds were always found in cultivar Picual.

Similar experiments to reduce LOX activity load during the oil extraction process were carried out using the specific LOX inhibitors phenidone and phenylbutazone. These potent LOX inhibitors are not used in the food industry, but they are currently employed in anti-inflammatory drug therapy due to their dual cycloxygenase and LOX inhibitor characteristics.^{29,30} Tables 5 and 6 show the results of the addition of these inhibitors during the milling of fruits of the cultivars and ripening stages under study. In general, the modification of the contents of the oil volatile compounds showed a trend similar to those found when using octyl gallate. The degree of inhibition caused by phenidone (Table 5) on the synthesis of C6/LnA compounds was quite similar to that of octyl gallate, >70% in both cultivars at MI 1 and 63 and 48% in cultivars Picual and Arbequina at MI 5, respectively. On the other hand, oils obtained from Picual fruits displayed, in general, a greater reduction of the content of total volatile compounds than those from Arbequina fruits as a consequence of the presence of phenylbutazone during the oil extraction process, the oils obtained from fruits at MI 1 being again the most affected. As observed in Table 6, the degree of inhibition caused by phenylbutazone on the synthesis of volatile compounds was, in general, and particularly on C6/LnA compounds, significantly lower than that caused by octyl gallate or phenidone. Data obtained in experiments with inhibitors showed a higher modification of the synthesis of VOO volatile compounds in cultivar Picual than in Arbequina, so that they point again to an apparent greater limitation of LOX activity in fruits of cultivar Picual than in cultivar Arbequina.

The inhibition characteristics seem to be different depending on the inhibitor as shown when the effect on the synthesis of each class of volatile compounds is examined. For instance, phenidone reduces by >90% the synthesis of C5/LnA compounds, especially of the PD compounds, whereas octyl gallate and phenylbutazone showed inhibition percentages lower than those observed for the synthesis of C6/LnA compounds. Besides, phenidone strongly enhanced the synthesis of C5/LA compounds, especially in the milling of olive fruits at MI 1 from both cultivars, whereas the other two inhibitors showed different trends depending on the cultivar and ripening stage. The reason for these different inhibition characteristics might be related to the different capacities of

Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry

scavenging intermediate free radicals formed during the catalytic cycle of LOX.

The addition of LOX inhibitors during the kneading step in the oil extraction process also led to a reduction of the contents of oil volatile compounds (Tables A and B in the Supporting Information). The pattern of the synthesis inhibition for each group of volatile compounds showed a trend similar to that observed when the addition was carried out during the milling step, but the reduction of the contents of the oil volatile compounds was lower. Data showed that octyl gallate gives rise to an average 40% decrease in the synthesis of C6/LnA compounds in both cultivars, whereas the average decrease is higher in Picual than in Arbequina cultivar when using phenidone and, especially, phenylbutazone. Again, experimental data point to a higher limitation of LOX activity in cultivar Picual than in Arbequina. On the other hand, the fact that there is an inhibition of the synthesis of volatile compounds when the inhibitor is present during the kneading step indicates that there is some LOX activity in the recently obtained olive paste, although probably it is lost rapidly when advancing the paste kneading. Thus, volatile compound synthesis might be still feasible during this step in the oil extraction process. Thus, results are compatible with the assumption that most of the volatile compounds produced through the LOX pathway are synthesized in the milling step of the VOO extraction process, as suggested earlier.³¹ In this sense, Angerosa et al.¹⁴ pointed out that after the very fast synthesis of volatile compounds occurring during cell disruption at milling, the partition phenomena between the oily and aqueous phases would be the main factor responsible for the variations of the volatile content in the oils during the kneading step. The reasons for this apparent low rate of volatile compound synthesis during the kneading step remain unclear, but our experimental data suggest that it is not entirely associated with a shortage of substrates for the LOX pathway, occurring as a consequence of the depletion of these substrates during the previous milling step as previously suggested,³¹ but more likely with a deactivation of the LOX activity by components in the olive paste, probably oxidized phenolics arising during the milling step. The role of olive polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase acting as major factors oxidizing phenolics during VOO production has been reported.³² This inactivating role of oxidized phenolics on enzymatic activity is well-established^{24,25} and can contribute to reduce the effective enzyme activity load during the oil extraction process.

In summary, there is evidence that the LOX activity level in the fruit is a limiting factor for the synthesis of the oil volatile fraction, this limitation being significantly higher in olive cultivar Picual than in Arbequina, in line with the lowest content of volatile compounds in the oils being obtained from Picual fruits. Moreover, experimental data suggest that this limitation of LOX activity takes place mainly during the milling step in the process of olive oil extraction because most of the VOO volatile compounds seem to be synthesized during this step.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Volatile contents of the oils obtained by addition of different inhibitors (32 mg/g paste) during the kneading of Arbequina (Table A) and Picual (Table B) fruit pastes at different maturity stages (MI 1 and 5). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Phone: +34-95-4611550. Fax: +34-95-616790. E-mail: carlos. sanz@ig.csic.es.

Funding

This work was supported by research projects AGL2005-03959 and AGL2008-00258 from Programa Nacional de Recursos y Tecnologías Alimentarias funded by the Spanish government.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Brígida Giménez (CIFA Cabra-Priego) for providing the fruits and to Mar Pascual for excellent technical assistance.

REFERENCES

(1) Ruíz-Gutiérrez, V.; Muriana, F. J. G.; Villar, J. Virgin olive oil and cardiovascular diseases. Plasma lipid profile and lipid composition of human erythrocyte membrane. *Grasas Aceites* **1998**, *49*, 9–29.

(2) Visioli, F.; Galli, C. Olive oil phenols and their potential effects on human health. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1998, 46, 4292–4296.

(3) Olías, J. M.; Pérez, A. G.; Rios, J. J.; Sanz, C. Aroma of virgin olive oil: biogenesis of the green odor notes. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1993**, *41*, 2368–2373.

(4) Morales, M. T.; Aparicio, R; Ríos, J. J. Dynamic headspace gas chromatographic method for determining volatiles in virgin olive oil. *J. Chromatogr.*, A 1994, 668, 455–462.

(5) Angerosa, F.; Mostallino, R.; Basti, C.; Vito, R. Virgin olive oil odour notes: their relationships with the volatile compound from the lipoxigenase pathway and secoiridoid compounds. *Food Chem.* **2000**, *68*, 283–287.

(6) Salas, J. J.; Williams, M.; Harwood, J. L.; Sánchez, J. Lipoxygenase activity in olive (*Olea europaea*) fruit. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. **1999**, 76, 1163–1169.

(7) Salas, J. J.; Sánchez, J. Hydroperoxide lyase from olive (Olea europaea) fruits. Plant Sci. 1999, 143, 19-26.

(8) Salas, J. J.; Sánchez, J. Alcohol dehydrogenases from olive (Olea europaea) fruit. Phytochemistry 1998, 48, 35-40.

(9) Salas, J. J. Characterization of alcohol acyltransferase from olive fruit. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 3155–3158.

(10) Gardner, H. W.; Grove, M. J.; Salch, Y. P. Enzymic pathway to ethyl vinyl ketone and 2-pentenal in soybean preparations. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1996**, *44*, 882–886.

(11) Fisher, A. J.; Grimes, H. D.; Fall, R. The biochemical origin of pentenol emissions from wounded leaves. *Phytochemistry* **2003**, *62*, 159–163.

(12) Vancanneyt, G.; Sanz, C.; Farmaki, T.; Paneque, M.; Ortego, F.; Castañera, P.; Sánchez-Serrano, J. J. Hydroperoxide lyase depletion in transgenic potato plants leads to an increase in aphid performance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2001**, *98*, 8139–8144.

(13) Morales, M. T.; Angerosa, F.; Aparicio, R. Effect of the extraction conditions of virgin olive oil on the lipoxygenase cascade: chemical and sensory implications. *Grasas Aceites* **1999**, *50*, 114–121.

(14) Angerosa, F.; d'Alessandro, N.; Basti, C.; Vito, R. Biogeneration of volatile compounds in virgin olive oil: their evolution in relation to malaxation time. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1998**, *46*, 2940–2944.

(15) Angerosa, F.; Mostallino, R.; Basti, C.; Vito, R. Influence of malaxation temperature and time on the quality of virgin olive oils. *Food Chem.* **2001**, *72*, 19–28.

(16) Pérez, A. G.; Luaces, P.; Ríos, J. J.; García, J. M.; Sanz, C. Modification of volatile compound profile of virgin olive oil due to hot-water treatment of olive fruit. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2003**, *51*, 6544–6549.

(17) Luaces, P.; Pérez, A. G.; Sanz, C. Role of olive seed in the biogenesis of virgin olive oil aroma. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2003, 51, 4741-4745.

Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry

(18) Luaces, P.; Pérez, A. G.; Sanz, C. Effect of the blanching process and olive fruit temperature at milling on the biosynthesis of olive oil aroma. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2006**, *224*, 11–17.

(19) Sánchez-Ortiz, A.; Pérez, A. G.; Sanz, C. Cultivar differences on nonesterified polyunsaturated fatty acid as a limiting factor for biogenesis of virgin olive oil aroma. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2007**, *55*, 7869–7873.

(20) Garcia, J. M.; Yousfi, K. Non-destructive and objective methods for the evaluation of the maturation level of olive fruit. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2005**, *221*, 538–541.

(21) Cohen, B. S.; Grossman, S.; Pinsky, A.; Klein, B. P. Chlorophyll inhibition of lipoxygenase in growing pea-plant. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1984**, *32*, 516–519.

(22) Dohi, T.; Anamura, S.; Shirakawa, M.; Okamoto, H.; Tsujimoto, A. Inhibition of lipoxygenase by phenolic compounds. *Jpn. J. Pharmacol.* **1991**, *55*, 547–550.

(23) Richard-Forget, F.; Gauillard, F.; Hugues, M.; Jean-Marc, T.; Boivin, P.; Nicolas, J. Inhibition of horse bean and germinated barley lipoxygenases by some phenolic compounds. *J. Food Sci.* **1995**, *60*, 1325–1329.

(24) Loomis, W. D.; Battaille, J. Plant phenolic compounds and the isolation of plant enzymes. *Phytochemistry* **1966**, *5*, 423–438.

(25) Loomis, W. D. Removal of phenolic compounds during the isolation of plant enzymes. *Methods Enzymol.* **1969**, *13*, 555–563.

(26) Ha, T. J.; Nihei, K.; Kubo, I. Lipoxygenase inhibitory activity of octyl gallate. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2004, 52, 3177-3181.

(27) Aruoma, O. I.; Murcia, A.; Butler, J.; Halliwell, B. Evaluation of the antioxidant and prooxidant actions of gallic acid and its derivatives. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1993**, *41*, 1880–1885.

(28) Ruddat, V. C.; Whitman, S.; Holman, T. R.; Bernasconi, C. F. Stopped-flow kinetics investigations of the activation of soybean lipoxygenase-1 and the influence of inhibitors on the allosteric site. *Biochemistry* **2003**, *42*, 4172–4178.

(29) Peary, J. S.; Prince, T. A. Floral lipoxygenase: activity during senescence and inhibition by phenidone. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 1990, 115, 455-457.

(30) Sicar, J. C.; Schwender, C. F.; Johnson, E. A. Soybean lipoxygenase inhibition by nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. *Prostaglandins* **1983**, *25*, 393–396.

(31) Sánchez-Ortiz, A.; Romero, C.; Pérez, A. G.; Sanz, C. Oxygen concentration affects volatile compound biosynthesis during virgin olive oil production. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2008**, *56*, 4681–4685.

(32) García-Rodríguez, R.; Romero-Segura, C.; Sánchez-Ortiz, A.; Sanz, C.; Perez, A. G. Role of polyphenol oxidase and peroxidase in shaping the phenolic profile of virgin olive oil. *Food Res. Int.* **2011**, *44*, 629–635.